Case 1:08-cv-11:100-LBS Document 5 Filed 02/09/09 Page 1 of 2

CEORCE B. FREERILL WILLIAM I. JUSKA JR. ERIC E. LENCK JOHN J. WALSH' PATRICK J. BONNER PETER J. CUTOWSKI MARK F MULLER WAYNE D. MEEHAN* DON P. MURNANE, JR. A THOMAS M. RUSSO

LAW OFFICES OF FREEHILL HOGAN & MAHAR LLP

80 PINE STREET

NEW YORK, N.Y. 10005-1759

TELEPHONE (212) 425-1800

FACSIMILE (212) 425-1901

E-MAIL: reception@freehill.com

www.freehill.com

NEW JERSEY OFFICE 543 SUMMIT AVENUE JERSEY CITY, N.J. 07306-2701 TELEPHONE (973) 623-5514 FACSIMILE (973) 623-3813

CONNECTICUT OFFICE 23 OLD KINGS HIGHWAY SOUTH DARIEN, CT 06820-4638 TELEPHONE: (203) 921-1913 FACSIMILE (203) 350-9377

THOMAS M. CANEVA MICHAEL FERNANDE JOHN F. KARPOUSIS* MICHAEL E. UNGERS WILLIAM J. PALLAS" GINA M. VENEZIA'A FEB 0 6 2009 LAWRENCE J. KAHN' BARBAHA C. CARNEY MANUEL A. MOLINA JUSTIN T. NASTRO* CHAMBERS PAMELA L. SCHULTZ DANIEL J. FITZGERAL EDWARD J. CARLSON

February 6, 2009

JAN P. GISHOLT SUSAN LEE'

* ALSO ADMITTED IN NEW JERSEY
* ALSO ADMITTED IN CONNECTICUT
• ALSO ADMITTED IN WASHINGTON, D.C.
* ALSO ADMITTED IN LOUISIANA

Our ref: 903-08/ROSS

USDS SDNY

DOCUMENT

DATE FILED: 🗸

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

Via Fax No: (212) 805 - 7919

The Honorable Leonard B. Sand United States District Court Judge Daniel Patrick Moynihan U.S. Courthouse 500 Pearl Street, Room 1650 New York, New York 10007

RE:

Universal Reefers Ltd. v. Hyram Maritime S.A.L.

08 CV 11100 (LBS)

Dear Judge Sand:

We represent the Plaintiff Universal Reefers Ltd. in the captioned Rule B litigation. The purpose of this letter is to ask that the Court allow the subject Rule B attachment to remain in place.

On December 24, 2008, we successfully attached the full amount of the subject claim (\$268,227.81), which is still being held by American Express Bank. As reflected in the attached Addendum to the Order of Attachment, we were supposed to advise the Court within thirty (30) days of this Order whether arbitration had been commenced. Unfortunately, we inadvertently allowed the deadline to pass on January 23rd because, as will be set forth below, the parties were involved in discussions to settle the Plaintiff's claim altogether. Once again, we apologize to the Court and respectfully ask that your Honor allow the attachment to remain in place.

Since the time of the filing of the Complaint, Plaintiff's demurrage claim continued to increase significantly over and above the amount attached. In early January, as a result of Plaintiff's attachment, the parties began settlement discussions. In line with those discussions, Plaintiff proposed that charterer/Defendant Hyram pay the Plaintiff monies due under the charter party, which included the \$268,227.81 under attachment. Plaintiff then forwarded to Defendant

NYDOCS1/323/190.1



February 6, 2009 Page 2

the proposed settlement agreement for its review and comments. Λ copy of Plaintill's proposed settlement agreement is annexed hereto.

Given that the settlement appeared imminent, Plaintiff did not commence London arbitration. However, we have instructed our client to immediately start arbitration if the settlement has not been finalized within one week. We respectfully submit that no prejudice occurred as a result of the Plaintiff's missing the January 23 deadline. Furthermore, by maintaining the subject attachment, the Court will allow the parties to implement any settlement agreement.

In light of the foregoing, we respectfully request that the Court grant the within application and allow attachment to remain in place.

Respectfully submitted,

FREEIILL HOGAN & MAHAR, LLP

lames I Ross

ROSS:lu enc.

Attachment may remain 26
place for an added thinty (20)
days ashpect to funte ander

ef the aux.

So ordered

MEMO ENDORSED

MAGO

M

NYDOCS1/323790.1

PREEIHLL, HOGAN & MAHAR LLP